

STATE OF INDIANA        )  
                                  ) SS:  
COUNTY OF HANCOCK )

HANCOCK SUPERIOR COURT NO. 2  
CAUSE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
PLAINTIFF

**MOTION FOR  
PROCEEDINGS SUPPLEMENTAL**

VS

\_\_\_\_\_  
DEFENDANT

\_\_\_\_\_  
GARNISHEE DEFENDANT / COMPANY NAME  
(Defendant's Employer)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
City, State Zip

\_\_\_\_\_  
City, State Zip

- 1) PLAINTIFF IS THE OWNER OF A JUDGMENT AGAINST THE JUDGMENT DEFENDANT \_\_\_\_\_, IN THE AMOUNT OF \_\_\_\_\_ AND COSTS DATED \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2) PLAINTIFF BELIEVES THAT LEVY OF EXECUTION AGAINST THE JUDGMENT DEFENDANT WILL NOT SATISFY THE JUDGMENT.
- 3) PLAINTIFF MOVES THAT THE JUDGMENT DEFENDANT BE ORDERED TO APPEAR BEFORE THE COURT TO ANSWER AS TO HIS NON-EXEMPT PROPERTY SUBJECT TO EXECUTION AND PROCEEDINGS SUPPLEMENTAL TO EXECUTION.
- 4) PLAINTIFF BELIEVES THAT GARNISHEE DEFENDANT HAS OR HEREAFTER WILL HOLD PROPERTY OF, OR OWES, OR WILL OWE THE JUDGMENT DEFENDANT WAGES SALARIES OR COMMISSIONS, WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO PROCEEDINGS SUPPLEMENTAL TO EXECUTION.
- 5) PLAINTIFF REQUESTS THAT GARNISHEE DEFENDANT BE ORDERED BY THE COURT TO ANSWER INTERROGATORIES SUBMITTED WITH THE ATTACHED TO THIS MOTION CONCERNING SUCH ASSETS.

I AFFIRM UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING REPRESENTATIONS ARE TRUE.

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

PLAINTIFF \_\_\_\_\_